Message

From: LEE, LILY [LEE.LILY@EPA.GOV] **Sent**: 5/29/2019 6:35:38 AM

To: Chesnutt, John [Chesnutt.John@epa.gov]

Subject: Here's the whole thing -FW: Do you want to review the part 1 comments on the Addendum before I send?

Attachments: 2019-5-27 Parcel G WP Addendum EPA Comments Part 1.doc

I need to check my notes from the conf call 5/16 to see if I forgot anything.

Maeve is working on dust comments, so that will go separately later.

From: LEE, LILY

Sent: Tuesday, May 28, 2019 11:01 PM **To:** Chesnutt, John < Chesnutt. John@epa.gov>

Subject: Do you want to review the part 1 comments on the Addendum before I send?

HERE'S DRAFT COVER LTR. I'M ABOUT 34 WAY THROUGH THE COMMENTS.



UNITED STATES ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY REGION IX 75 Hawthorne Street San Francisco, CA 94105

May 27, 2019

Derek J. Robinson, BRAC Environmental Coordinator (via email) Department of the Navy Base Realignment and Closure Program Management Office West 33000 Nixie Way, Building 50 San Diego, CA 92147

Re: EPA Comments (Part 1) on the Parcel G Work Plan Addendum, Hunters Point Naval Shipyard, San

Francisco, California, received April 17, 2019

Dear Mr. Robinson:

Thank you for providing the draft Parcel G Removal Site Evaluation Work Plan Addendum, Radiological Investigation, Survey and Reporting, Former Hunters Point Naval Shipyard, San Francisco, California, Hunters Point Naval Shipyard, San Francisco, California, received April 17, 2019.

We began immediately to review that, and we found missing critical information typically expected in such plans. For example, calculations for minimum detectable concentrations for test instruments are essential to ensure that contamination has not been left behind on the site. We understand that your contractor wants to protect this and other information as proprietary, so we sent a list of information needed as well as EPA's

procedures for protecting Confidential Business Information. We appreciate that you have committed to providing this and other requested information soon so that we and other regulatory agencies can complete our reviews.

We understand that you have requested that we discuss any potential issues verbally first before giving final comments in writing. We appreciate the valuable opportunities for dialogue in the informal webinar and conference calls you have organized including the call you have scheduled for May 30, 2019. We still have not discussed air monitoring/sampling and dust control issues, so we requested to move that call earlier to inform our reviews, but I understand that it was difficult to reschedule, especially given the holiday timing.

We originally committed to expedite comments to maintain forward movement. Therefore, we agreed on a conference call May 16, 2019, that the most efficient plan would be to expedite delivery of Part 1 of EPA's comments on this document based on the information we have received thus far. After the May 30, 2019, conference call and after we have received and reviewed forthcoming technical details, we will submit Part 2 of EPA's comments.

If you have any questions about the attached comments, please call me at (415) 947-4187 or e-mail me at lee_lily@epa_gov.

Sincerely,

Lily Lee

Remedial Project Manager

cc: Paul Stoick, US Navy Nina Bacey, DTSC Shane Reese, CDPH Tina Low, RWQCB

Amy Brownell, SFDPH